

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Pogson
<b>Given Name</b>	Victor
<b>Company / Organisation</b>	The British Horse Society
<b>Person ID</b>	1286846
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286846.pdf</a>
<b>Family Name</b>	Pogson
<b>Given Name</b>	Victor
<b>Company / Organisation</b>	The British Horse Society
<b>Person ID</b>	1286846
<b>Title</b>	Our Vision
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286846.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Sound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Family Name</b>	Pogson
<b>Given Name</b>	Victor
<b>Company / Organisation</b>	The British Horse Society
<b>Person ID</b>	1286846
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 3 River Valleys and Waterways
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286846.pdf</a>
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Paragraph 8 fails to mention horse riding within active travel alongside waterways. It is discriminatory to exclude one form of active travel from this objective
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to</b>	horse riding should be added to walking and cycling in paragraph 8

<b>make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	Pogson
<b>Given Name</b>	Victor
<b>Company / Organisation</b>	The British Horse Society
<b>Person ID</b>	1286846
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 6 Urban Green Space
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286846.pdf</a>
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The Policy fails to mention horse riding as a means of access to green space. It is discriminatory to exclude one form of active travel from this objective
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Horse riding should be added to walking and cycling as a positive use of urban green space
<b>Family Name</b>	Pogson
<b>Given Name</b>	Victor
<b>Company / Organisation</b>	The British Horse Society
<b>Person ID</b>	1286846
<b>Title</b>	JP-C1 An Integrated Network
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286846.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA

## Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The prioritisation listed in Paragraph 5 fails to recognise that ridden and driven horses are legitimate users of the road network and hence fails to meet its stated objective to fully consider the needs of all people. Government considers horse riders as vulnerable road users as stated by Jesse Norman in debate: "Horse riders are vulnerable road users□there is no doubt about that, and there never has been□and they have been included in the work we are doing."
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Equestrian users must be included as transport modes providing high efficiency and sustainability in consideration of priority and heirarchy of users. Unless specifically mentioned, their priority would be unclear.
<b>Family Name</b>	Pogson
<b>Given Name</b>	Victor
<b>Company / Organisation</b>	The British Horse Society
<b>Person ID</b>	1286846
<b>Title</b>	JP-C5 Walking and Cycling Network
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286846.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>The policy fails to include horse riding and thus fails to meet its stated objectives of social inclusion, improved health and wellbeing and reduced traffic pollution.</p> <p>The government has clearly stated that horse riding should be taken into consideration in walking and cycling infrastructure planning, for example Jesse Norman in House of Commons debate on Road Safety, 5 November 2018:</p> <p>"We should be clear that the cycling and walking strategy may have that name but is absolutely targeted at vulnerable road users, including horse-riders"</p>

	and therefore the policy is not consistent with national policy.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Horse riders should be referenced throughout all paragraphs of the Policy, so that they are given consideration in all matters relating to non-motorised travel.
<b>Family Name</b>	Pogson
<b>Given Name</b>	Victor
<b>Company / Organisation</b>	The British Horse Society
<b>Person ID</b>	1286846
<b>Title</b>	Supporting Evidence
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286846.pdf</a>
<b>Redacted comment on supporting documents - Please give details of why you consider any of the evidence not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>The Greater Manchester Transport Strategy document states an ambition 'To develop a fully inclusive and affordable sustainable transport system for all' and 'To develop a transport system that supports people in leading active, healthy lives', yet despite a very heavy focus on cycling and to a lesser extent walking, it completely fails to even acknowledge horse riding, which should clearly be taken into account according to the Department for Transport Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plans - Technical Guidance (2.19).</p> <p>Considering that there are in excess of 24,000 horse passports registered to addresses within the Greater Manchester area according to recent DEFRA information, the strategy document clearly fails in its stated aim of inclusivity for all.</p> <p>For these reasons the Transport Strategy Document is unsound.</p>